NITED STATES PATENT AND I RADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,245	06/25/2004	Etsumori Harada	0230-0217PUS1	8920
2292 759 BIRCH STEWAR	90 05/01/2007 RT KOLASCH & BIRCH	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		HEARD, THOMAS SWEENEY		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
· 3 MONT	THS	05/01/2007	FLECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 05/01/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
			•			
Office Action Summary	10/500,245	HARADA ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Thomas S. Heard	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	Dears on the cover sheet w	ur the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION ATE OF THIS COMMUNION ATE OF THIS COMMUNION ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 M</u>	larch 2007.		r			
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims			ż			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13,15 and 17-26</u> is/are pending in t	he application	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-13, 15, 17-26</u> is/a		eration.	•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
E) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers		·				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-15	52 .			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f)	•			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, (-) (-) (-)				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		•			
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in A	pplication No	1			
Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been	received in this National Stag	e			
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)			*			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	•			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	<u> </u>				

DETAILED ACTION

The Applicants Amendments to the claims received on 3/7/2007 is acknowledged. The text of those sections of Title 35 U.S. Code not included in the action can be found in the prior office action. Rejections or objections not addressed in this office action with respect to the previous office action mailed 11/7/2006 are hereby withdrawn.

Claims 1-13, 15, 17, 18-26 are pending. Applicants have amended claims 4, 7, 9-13, and 15 to recite a method rather than a composition; therefore these claims are no longer part of the Elected Group I, which is a composition. Therefore claims 8-13 and 15 are withdrawn along with claims 17-26 as being drawn to non-elected subject matter. Applicants have cancelled claims 14 and 16. Claims 1-7 are currently examined on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 and 7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tomita, M. et al, US Patent 5,543,392.

Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered but are not deemed persuasive. Applicants traverse the rejection over the preamble not have any

patentable weight in the invention, and that it must be made on a case-by-case bases. The claims are to a composition. Applicant is asked to review In re Hack, 245 F.2d 246, 248, 114 USPQ 161, 163 (CCPA 1957). "When the claim recites using an old composition or structure and the "use" is directed to a result or property of that composition or structure, then the claim is anticipated" (MPEP 2100 pp.2113). M.P.E.P. § 2112 reads, "The claiming of a new use, new function or unknown property which is inherently present in the prior art does not necessarily make the claim patentable." Something that is old does not become patentable upon the discovery of a new property, use, or application. Lactoferrin is known in the art and a new use does not make lactoferrin new.

As stated previously, Tomita et al discloses a composition having lactoferrin as an ingredient within the ranges claimed, see Example 5:

Example 5 (preparation of powdery drug)

Lactoferrin (made by Oleofina Co.)	25.0 (g)
Lactoferrin hydrolysis product based on	25.0
the same method as in Test 2	
EGF based on the same method as in	0.1
Reference 2	
Crystalline cellulose	375.0
Corn starch	575.0

Thus, the lactoferrin is a powdery composition (Claim 4). Applicants have amended the claims to range the composition to 0.1 mg to 50,000 mg readable upon 25 g. The Applicant's intended use for improving lipid metabolism, treatment. and enhancing basal metabolism, are not given any patentable weight because the claims are drawn to a composition comprising lactoferrin. M.P.E.P. § 2111.02 reads, "If the body of a claim fully and intrinsically sets forth all of the limitations of the claimed invention, and the preamble merely states, for example, the purpose or intended use of the invention, rather than any distinct definition of any of the claimed invention's limitations, then the preamble is not considered a limitation and is of no significance to claim construction."

Claims 1-7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Trümpler, P. W. et al, "Antibacterial prophylaxis with lactoferrin in neutropenic patients," Journal European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases, Issue Volume 8, Number 4 / April, 1989.

Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered but are not deemed persuasive. Applicants traverse the rejection over the preamble not have any patentable weight in the invention, and that it must be made on a case-by-case bases. The claims are to a composition. Applicant is asked to review In re Hack, 245 F.2d 246, 248, 114 USPQ 161, 163 (CCPA 1957). "When the claim recites using an old composition or structure and the "use" is directed to a result or property of that composition or structure, then the claim is anticipated" (MPEP 2100 pp.2113). M.P.E.P.

§ 2112 reads, "The claiming of a new use, new function or unknown property which is inherently present in the prior art does not necessarily make the claim patentable." Something that is old does not become patentable upon the discovery of a new property, use, or application. Lactoferrin is known in the art and a new use does not make lactoferrin new.

Therefore, as stated previously, Trümpler, P. W. et al discloses an encapsulated composition having lactoferrin as an ingredient within the ranges claimed, see Example 1 where the composition of 800 mg/day of lactoferrin (claim 1-4 and 7) was encapsulated by acid fast encapsulation for dissolution and release in the small intestine, i.e., duodenal lumen (Claims 4-6). Further the encapsulated lactoferrin was coated with an acid-fast substance that resists 0.1 N HCI, applicable to resisting the acids of the stomach. The Applicants intended use for improving lipid metabolism. treatment, and enhancing basal metabolism, are not given any patentable weight because the claims are drawn to a composition comprising lactoferrin. M.P.E.P. § 2111.02 reads, "If the body of a claim fully and intrinsically sets forth all of the limitations of the claimed invention, and the preamble merely states, for example, the purpose or intended use of the invention, rather than any distinct definition of any of the claimed invention's limitations, then the preamble is not considered a limitation and is of no significance to claim construction." Therefore, the invention as claimed is anticipated by the prior art.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Applicant should specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure, including the claims (MPEP 714.02 and 2163.06). Due to the procedure outlined in MPEP § 2163.06 for interpreting claims, it is noted that other art may be applicable under 35 U.S.C. § 102 or 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) once the aforementioned issue(s) is/are addressed.

Applicant is requested to provide a list of all copending applications that set forth similar subject matter to the present claims. A copy of such copending claims is requested in response to this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas S. Heard whose telephone number is (571) 272-2064. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TSH